

JOURNAL OF SECURITY AND SUSTAINABILITY ISSUES ISSN 2029-7017 print/ISSN 2029-7025 online 2016 June Volume 5 Number 4 http://dx.doi.org/10.9770/jssi.2016.5.4(12)

# HOUSING POLICY AND SECURITY IN THE EU: A CASE STUDY OF THE CZECH REPUBLIC

Zuzana Horváthová<sup>1</sup>, Soňa Křítková<sup>2</sup>, Olga Tcukanova<sup>3</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Metropolitan University Prague, Dubečská 900/10, 100 31 Praha 10, Czech Republic
 <sup>2</sup> University of Economics, Prague, nám. W. Churchilla 4, 130 67 Praha 3, Czech Republic
 <sup>3</sup> ITMO University, Faculty of technology management & innovation, 49 Kronverksky pr., 197101 St. Petersburg, Russian Federation
 E-mails: <sup>1</sup> zuzana.horvathova@mup.cz, <sup>2</sup> sona.kritkova@vse.cz, <sup>3</sup> zoa1999@mail.ru

Received 10 March 2016; Accepted 10 June 2016

**Abstract.** Our paper aims at analysing and assessing sustainable housing policy and social security in selected countries of the European Union with a special focus on housing policy which largerly depends on the family support and family policy of the EU Member States. Family policy and family support allow young families to acquire their own housing and therefore represent a key element in the bundle of the social-oriented state policies.

The paper describes the historical development of housing policy and security as well as provides comprehensive analyses of the existing housing policy instruments. Moreover, it focuses on the standard of living and various legal aspects of the social policy in the post-Communist countries using the case study of the Czech Republic. The analysis is done through the comparison of the state-of-the-art in the Czech Republic to the wealthier and more developed EU Member States represented by Germany and United Kingdom. We find that in all countries in question housing is of good quality but each country stands out with its own specifics that are dependent on the economic and social situation. Moreover, we find that the EU membership plays an important role in the formation and development of social policy and housing policy.

Keywords: social policy, housing, security, legal aspects, social disparities, European Union, Czech Republic

**Reference** to this paper should be made as follows: Horváthová, Z.; Křítková, S.; Tcukanova, O. 2016. Housing policy and security in the EU: a case study of the Czech Republic, Journal of Security and Sustainability Issues (5)4: 601–610. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.9770/jssi.2016.5.4(12)

JEL Classification: D04, H41, R31

### 1. Introduction

For every country, housing policy represents an important and constitutent part of its social policy and its strategy of maintaining personal security and suitable living standards for its citizens (Zavora and Chepurny, 2014; Korsakienė, Tvaronavičienė, 2014). Housing policy and security have considerable impact on the lifestyle and the living standards of general population (Włodarczyk, 2015). In the past as well as nowadays, housing is rightfully considered to be an entirely private good, which possesses its economic and social dimensions (Morselli, 2014). Each EU Member State develops its policies in this area in order to create sustainable conditions for the satisfaction of primary human needs via the hous-

ing market that is influenced by many factors (e.g. historical, political and geographical, just to name a few) (Abrhám et al., 2015; Trojanek, 2010a,b). According to Poláková (2006), the right to housing is defined in a number of international documents and in certain countries it is even incorporated into their constitutions. In legal terms, it is simply a right and real power to deal with some things as own property. From the economic point of view one can speak of a certain motivation or intention to use that thing or modify it in some way (see Čábelková et al., 2015).

Some sociologists say that housing, along with clothes and food are the main consumer goods, because without them there is no life at a decent level possible. In this way, appropriate housing becomes an important element of personal security. As an object of consumption we can call mainly a dwelling, which creates benefits in the form of furnishings that one needs or wants (Pęciak and Tusińska, 2015). One of the reasons that people aspire to own-occupied home is the ability to consume or enjoy many disparate things that together create a "home".

Helešic (2007) says that in the past a community or a state mostly took care about the houses and apartments, now it's a subject matter to all of us. There are emerging unions or cooperatives of owners of namely flats, through which citizens take care of the maintenance, repair, reconstruction and modernization of the dwellings in which they live. From the point of view of other sociologists such as Lux, Sunega, Kostelecký, Čermák and Košinár (2004), we understand that housing in all countries, irrespective of political, cultural, social or economic differences among them, is regarded, as already mentioned, as one of the primary human needs, and therefore there exist a certain form of housing policy, whether directly formulated as a policy or implicitly presented in many other policies. Although specific objectives of housing policies may differ greatly from country to country, there is a consensus on what a global goal is - to ensure adequate quality and affordable housing for local residents.

The earliest form of housing is own-occupied dwelling, which is also in the Czech Republic most promoted via the advertising links. This housing option is preferred by most households and constitutes 47% of the current Czech housing stock. Its main source nowadays is a new construction, a conversion of cooperative flats into the ownership and privatization of the municipal housing stock to the ownership of the tenant (owner-occupier). In the current economic climate, for most households to purchase own living, especially newly built, is very expensive. Usually this form of housing is sought by people who can use loans from building society accounts and mortgage loans, and especially by families with middle and higher incomes. The price of such housing is high relative to the average monthly wage, and therefore a family seeks to invest in such a living, which price in the future will at least equal to the sum of all costs associated with the acquisition.

This paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we shall describe the Czech housing market, forms of dwelling and housing construction in the Czech Republic. In section 3 we shall elaborate of the goals and tools of the housing policy. Section 4 discusses housing policy and family support in Germany and United Kingdom in order to compare the situation with the Czech Republic. Section 5 outlines and presents a brief econometric model ofhousing and GDP inter-dependence. Section 6 provides overall conclusions and discussions.

# 2. Forms of dwelling and housing construction in the Czech Republic

Czech housing market is full of interesting features and oddities (Sanderson et al. 2014). For instance, there is a regulation of house rents at state-owned housing facilities (see Sunega, 2005; Lux, 2003) or cheap regulated rents provided on the property market by the Czechoslovk state after 1991 (Lux, 2002). The new Czech middle class wanted modern and fancy housing and the banking sector and the easy availability of mortgages enabled it to buy the desired properties. The situation caused developers (often international companies) to offer a wide range of cheap properties and that, in turn, caused the

construction boom in the Czech Republic in the mid-1990s (Lux and Sunega, 2010). However, it did not last that long as far as the demand for the new first-hand housing was covered by the end of the 1990s. According to Rojíček (2006), in the beginning of the 2000s Czech GDP dropped about 3% per year drop due to the decreasing productivity in the construction sector. Housing policy in the Czech Republic has three basic forms, which include homeownership, cooperative and rental housing. Each of these forms of housing is designed for a different, in terms of age and especially economic, class of the population of the state.

Homeownership is the most authentic form of living in our country and even the offer on the estate market to the greatest extent focuses on this possibility. In this form, the owner has a property ownership and user relationship. The continuous growth of this housing option is also supported by the government. Its source is the conversion of older cooperative flats into the homeownership, the construction of new apartments and townhouses and the privatization of the municipal housing stock to the ownership of the tenants. In the current economic situation, families can purchase their own housing, especially new homes, at very high cost and very few families can make it. Most families satisfy their financial needs via taking mortgage loans and building society savings. Even this financial loan from the state is intended only to families with medium and higher incomes.

Cooperative housing is made up of members of the housing association, in which each member has one vote. In the Czech Republic, these houses were owned by building and housing cooperatives were built using panel technology. A person who is a member of a housing association has duties and rights, which earmarks the association in its statutes. The fundamental obligations of a member of a housing association are to actively participate in meetings, vote on the various proposals and innovations. Rental housing is dealt within the amendment of the Act of January 2014, which has an impact on the entire sphere of private law. All contracts for rental housing, which gained legitimacy before the amendment of the Act shall continue to be governed by the original legislation. For rental housing it is very important to distinguish between rent and tenancy. Pšenko and Obšasník (2014) say that the main difference between the two is that in a contract for the rent the subject of renting must be owned by the landlord. For tenancy contracts the tenant has already himself rented a flat or house and provides with it another person. According to the latest census of citizens, houses and apartments in 2011 in the Czech Republic up to 60% of people lived in an apartment or a house in a private ownership, while there was a reduction of people living in rented flats and even cooperative ones. This reduction in the number of people in cooperative flats has resulted in the privatization process, which started in 1993 and has converted apartments to private ownership, or has sold them directly.

A special part of the housing policy in our country is the creation and support of families under social housing. According to Krebs (2010) the subject matter of social housing has been significantly influenced by a tradition of living and, last but not least, by the economic level of individual states, which are generally reflected in the standard of living of a given society and specifies the level of living of the individual households. State bodies or municipalities are trying to ensure that socially disadvantaged groups of people who cannot afford their own housing are provided with the possibility of social housing. Rent in this type of housing is lower than a market one. To a large extent the construction of social housing is supported and subsidized by the Ministry for Regional Development, Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs and the State Housing Development Fund. Ripka (2013), a sociologist, says that social housing does not lead to a situation where the state cares for as many people possible, who will then be not responsible for themselves. To this direction rather heads the support for collective forms of housing, from which it is increasingly difficult to become independent and integrate himself in the life and society. Thus there is a need for a law on social housing as any other regulation of social housing is unable to clearly and long term guarantee the involvement of all relevant actors in dealing with the situations of specific people at risk of inadequate housing. A guarantor of the right to housing should

become the state. This right should be realized through municipalities and autonomous units. Based on funding from the State Housing Development Fund in the years 2003-2013 there were built 8,616 social flats. Based on funding from the Ministry for Regional Development in the years 1998 to 2013 there were built 11,818 flats, which serve for disadvantaged social groups and families in the Czech Republic.

# 3. Housing policy: tools and goals

The main goals of the housing policy of the Czech Republic up to 2020 are the following:

- affordability;
- quality,
- stability

Especially for medium-economically rich states of the European Union including the Czech Republic, the affordability of housing is a major goal of housing policy. Given the lifestyle of the population, the quality of life and wealth, it is necessary to keep in mind that not all households manage to satisfy their housing situation and needs of the market without the aid from others. Nowadays, most families who acquire new apartments or houses in private ownership must take the mortgage banks and building society savings loans. The priority of the state in housing is to motivate the population to ensure a living on their own. For this reason, according Polaková (2006), further goals of the housing policy should be set both in the Czech Republic and other countries of a similar economic level, such as:

- increase the intensity of housing construction;
- foster care of the existing housing stock and optimally use it,
- seek to promote ownership and rental housing,
- encourage disadvantaged or low-income households,
- collaborate with non-profit organizations in the sphere of housing,
- promote the construction of social housing,
- efficiently and effectively provide subsidies to the housing sector,
- improve the existing regulatory relations between the different actors in the housing market

The quality of housing stems out of the standard, which on one hand provides housing stock for most of its citizens, while on the other hand, is derived from the rising of the ambient environment of residential areas. At present, citizens of the Czech Republic do not require only high-quality housing, but also a certain standard of amenities that are be part of a residential complex, or are in close proximity to the new residential property. The stability of the housing policy is directly related to the economic and political environment of the state. It is determined by laws and institutions for all actors in the housing market. In practice, the most famous type of state intervention in the market for residential estates is public support with the exact purpose of its use. This support is very extensive and may even be negative, because it can cause an increase in the price level. Also, it is quite demanding in terms of administration and rather expensive. It can be a support on both the supply side as well as the demand one. In 2015, the Ministry for Regional Development of the Czech Republic opened four calls to support housing:

- support for the construction of technical infrastructure,
- promotion of the repair of lead distribution networks,
- support for the construction of subsidized apartments,
- support for the regeneration of housing estates and blocks of flats.

The support for the construction of technical infrastructure is provided in terms of increasing the supply of investment land for future construction of houses and apartment buildings. Grants are awarded to cities and municipalities, which have to provide for the construction of technical infrastructure (sewers, roads and water supply) on these lands. The promotion of the repair of housing lead distribution networks aims at the reduction of lead content in drinking water. This reduction of lead in drinking water is realized in exchange of lead water distribution pipes to the healthy ones. This support is intended for all the family and apartment houses, which are permanently inhabited. The exchange of lead networks belongs among the main objectives of European environmental policy environment. The aim to support construction of subsidized housing is to ensure the independence and personal self-sufficiency for people who need it. This group includes starting and nursing homes. Nursing homes are designed for elderly (over 65) and disabled people. Starting flats are determined for people who are already re-granted benefit in material distress, or their net monthly income for the last year did not exceed 0.6 times of the average monthly wage. The latest promotion, which deals with the regeneration of prefabricated housing, is based on the assumption of improving the original flats, their life extension and overall improvement of the living space to meet the demands of modern living. This grant is provided by the city or municipality if they have at least 150 apartments in panel buildings.

State Housing Development Fund in the Czech Republic also provides a number of preferential soft loans and thereby seeks to secure long-term support for housing. The most important loans that it provides are:

- Panel 2013 programme (a programme for the revitalization of the housing stock)
- Element programme (residential recovery programme from natural disasters)
- Construction programme (programme of soft loans for the construction of apartments)
- programmes for municipalities (programmes for modernization and repairs of municipalities)
- Jessica programme (a programme for the development of disadvantaged urban areas and zones)
- Programme 150 (programme providing loans for young people up to 36 years with the interest of 2%).

Czech Ministry of Finance has been providing housing support for its citizens in the form building society accounts since 1993. This savings is intended for a period of 6 years, and if within this time the participant does not withdraw his savings he will get a state aid. The participant of the savings scheme must, however, for a period of 6 years deposit on his account a minimum amount of 20,000 Czech crowns annually. In recent years, the number of contracts on building society savings has been increasing. The reason for this increase in the number of contracts is a possible division of withdrawing financial benefits from several contracts.

The Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs of the Czech Republic provides the opportunity to support housing through the following aspects:

- housing benefit,
- housing supplement

The housing benefit is a benefit under state social support, thorough which, according to the Ombudsman gateway (2015), the state contributes to partially cover the costs of housing for families and individuals who have low incomes. This housing benefit can be obtained if the applicant lives in his own, rental flat or cooperative apartment or a family house and in an apartment owned by her husband, provided the entitlement of housing benefit is not already applied by her husband. Housing supplement is a benefit in material need assistance and is provided for households which do not have sufficient income, including a housing allowance from the state social support. It is a benefit granted monthly.

# 4. Housing policy and family support in Germany and United Kingdom

The housing policy of the European Union respects the principle of subsidiarity and pursuit to international obligations of Member States, every citizen has the right to adequate housing. The housing sector has remained since the beginning of European integration primarily a national competence of the individual EU Member States. For this reason and as claimed by Munková (2004) housing policies of Member States diverge significantly, and also with regard to the historical, socio-economic and demographic factors. Due to individual differences in demographics, the political situation and the economy, we will compare the housing policy in Germany and the UK. In political terms, Germany has a very interesting history, because in 1961, when the Berlin Wall was built, the state was divided into two completely different political and ideological camps (East and West). This fact is directly connected with the social policy in both countries, which were until 1989 completely different. The current housing policy in Germany is based on the effective business model of the welfare state, which means that social policy is directly derived mainly from the work activity of individuals on the labour market. The goals of the housing policy here are similar to those in the Czech Republic with the first place taken by the goal to ensure sufficient and adequate housing for citizens and the other very important objectives are to support young families in the form of housing allowances and assistance for low-income and economically disadvantaged households. The policy support is ensured through the Bundesministerium für Umwelt, Naturschutz und Bau Reaktorsicherheit, as well as through the Federal Institute for Construction, Urban Development and Territorial Development, which is part of the Federal Office for Building and Regional Planning in the context of scientific policy advice and program and project management. Among other very important forms of support for housing in Germany one may include the Programme for Reconstruction of Cities - West, which focuses on reducing emissions and energy consumption and to support the restoration and protection of the environment. As Munková describes (2004) the construction of housing stocks in the 1950s and 1960s in Germany amounted to a whopping 500,000 flats a year. Despite the small stagnation of housing construction in the 1970s the number of new flats grew steadily and in 1986 there were in an average 3 residents for one flat.

A very important factor in the housing stock is to determine the nature of ownership. In Germany the largest share make up rental apartments, a smaller group consists of flats in private ownership and the smallest number make social flats, which are built with public funds. According to the Eurostat (2014), almost two-fifths of the population in 2012 lived mainly in the rented property with market-set rents. For the entire European Union, Germany is one of the countries where the least people live in their own property.

Since 2011, the development of housing construction has greatly increased by an average of 8% annually. Regarding the standard of living, it is considered to be of generally good quality, although they are significant differences throughout the country. These differences are due to the former division of the contemporary Germany into two separate states. In the former East Germany the housing stock is older, because most of the apartments were built in 1944, with the territorial West Germany the situation is reversed, as 70% of flats emerged after 1945.

The fourth most populous state in the European Union is United Kingdom and in terms of natural population growth it has had a growing tendency in recent years, but to a high degree the increase consists of migrants. For this reason the state belongs to the liberal type of housing policy. In this system, the emphasis is on the activity of the individual, where the state provides assistance only to those who are on their own unable to cope with their situation and resolve it. Also, a form of home ownership gets the maximum support. The promotion of housing policy is in large volume focused mainly on young families with children lies in the pursuit for increasing the availability of rental housing. Since 2006 there has been a program called National Youth Homelessness Scheme, which supports the construction of low cost housing for young families and mothers with children. It takes the form of one-room apartments with an access to a common room. We can define it as a form of hostels or dormitories. This program follows a support for the provision of halfway houses for young homeless and young people from socially disadvantaged backgrounds. Lux (2010) describes another very positive and effective promotional program based on providing housing benefit. If a salary of a UK household living in rental housing gets below a certain minimum level, the cost of the rent are fully covered by that program. United Kingdom belongs to a system where social housing is supported by a public authority and is determined only for disadvantaged or excluded persons. Rents for social housing are subsidized up to 96% from the social assistance system and hence the allocation of such housing is realized on the basis of very strict standards.

The development of housing construction and housing policy since 1950 has been mixed. In the 1950s great emphasis was put on public housing and its development, and this trend was implemented until the end of the 1970s. In 1980s, the legalization of the right to buy council flats came in force. This law was meant to promote housing policies on the grounds that the purchase was under very favourable conditions. Owing to the fact that council flats were bought by people from economically weaker classes, there gradually emerged tremendous social and economic differences between council apartments and condominiums of individual owners. According to the latest data currently most families and the population of the state lives in detached houses. They make up to 60%, followed by houses and apartments. These properties, in terms of forms of ownership, are mainly homeownerships, where a loan or mortgage has not been repaid yet. Another smaller group consists of population living in their own house or apartment in private ownership without the burden of a loan or mortgage. The rest are citizens who use the free housing or housing with reduced renting, or people who live in rented flats with market-set rent.

# 5. Empirical model

In this section, we will draw a simple econometric model of inter-dependence between housing and GDP (that represents economic development). The model will be based on simple regression analysis of the one dependent variable (number of finished housing project (appartments) in the Czech Republic in 1998-2015 (quarterly data provided courtesy of the Czech Statistical Office)) and three independent variables: Czech GDP in current prices, number of people in active work force, and wages (all taken from 1998 until 2015 on a quarterly basis).

The formal model can be written in the following form:

$$Y_{i} = \alpha_{1} + \beta_{1} GDP + \beta_{2} employment + \beta_{3} wage + \epsilon_{i} \quad (1)$$

where  $Y_i$  is the dependent variables representing the number of finished housing project (appartments) in the Czech Republic in 1998-2015 and the independent variables are as follows:

GDP - general domestic product in the Czech Republic,

Employment - the number of economically active populations (in thousands of people),

Wage - average monthly personal income (in thousands of CZK).

We employ the OLS linear regression with and test our empirical model. The results are depicted in Table 1 and the explanation of the results follows.

Variable	Coef.	Std. error	p-value
GDP	034 ***	.0094	0.000
EMPLOYMENT	00093	.0036	0.797
WAGES	.126 ***	03040	0.000
CONSTANT	8591.287	16703.55	0.609
R <sup>2</sup> =	0.28		
N =	71		

### Table 1. Empirical model results

Note: Significance levels: \* 0.05 < *p* < 0.10; \*\* 0.01 < *p* < 0.05; \*\*\* *p* < 0.01.

### Source: Own results

Our model has a good fit. One can immediately see that in spite of the relatively low R<sup>2</sup> (which is of course common in the social sciences and economics), there are two variables that are very significant: GDP and wages. The signs of the variables indicate that the larger is GDP, the smaller is the number of the new housing stock. On the other hand, the higher are the wages, the more of the housing stock is being built. The results are logical and can be interpreted that the more cash people have, the more of the housing stock they can afford. On the other hand, the increase in GDP slows down the production of new housing. This might be explained by the fact that the market gets saturated and filled with cheap and affordable apartment over time and the people are not longer willing to buy new apartments. The market might also be influenced by the second-hand and old housing stock that can be obtained much cheaper.

Our results suggest that housing reacts to the economic situation and the overall level of security (either economic or political) in the country (represented in our model above by the Czech Republic) and therefore less wealthy people and young families should be supported by the state in the form of providing affordable accommodation and housing. These results imply that less wealthy citizens are likely not able afford their own dwelling. Due to this fact, they are forced to shop for their housing at the open market and should therefore be included into this branch of the social policy. By protecting these groups of vulnerable citizens, the governments might increase the overall level of well-being and security in the country. Morever, it might help those citizens to save up for their own accommodation some time in the future.

# Conclusions

Housing policy is one of the fundamental parts of social policy and security and the European Union places a great emphasis on it. Although the goals of housing policy in individual states union vary in response to the economic and social resources of the state, each country of the European Union sets as one of the fundamental goals, ensuring its inhabitant with adequate and quality housing, because adequate housing is one of the basic needs of each human being.

In the current economic and demographic situation, social housing is a relevant issue for all European countries. This form of housing is not defined by a common mandatory legal provision for the whole Union yet. To a large degree this term is perceived a public sector of rental housing, which is determined for the socially and economically disadvantaged groups of citizens who on their own forces are not able to ensure an adequate and decent housing.

We can say that in all three selected European Union countries, housing is of good quality, although in every country there are certain specifics and deficiencies arising from the economic situation of the country as well as its social problems.

The right to ensure adequate housing is defined in the Declaration of Human Rights of the United Nations and the European Social Charter. The European Union takes a principle of subsidiarity in this policy, which means that the area of housing belongs to the exclusive competence of the Member States. The impact of the European Union membership is evident in promoting itself through legislation on construction products and the various laws and rules in the area of public procurement.

### References

Abrhám, J. 2009. Komparativní ekonomika EU. Praha: MAC. 2009. ISBN 978-80-86783-34-5.

Abrhám, J., Strielkowski, W., Vošta, M., & Šlajs, J. 2015. Factors that influence the competitiveness of Czech rural small and medium enterprises, *Agricultural Economics (Zemědělská Ekonomika)* 61(10): 450-460. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.17221/63/2015-AGRICECON

Bílý, J. 2009. Základy ekonomie, mezinárodních vztahů, logiky a teorie vědy. Ostrava: Key Publishing.

Bílý, J. 2011. Srovnávací právo. Brno: International Business School.

Čábelková, I., Abrhám, J., Strielkowski, W. 2015. Factors influencing job satisfaction in post-transition economies: the case of the Czech Republic, *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics 21*(4): 448-456. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10803548.201 5.1073007

Dubský, Z. 2013. Visegrad Cooperation in Military and Security Areas. In: Marušiak, J. et al. 2013. *Is Visegrad Still*. Bratislava: VEDA, Publishing House of the Slovak Academy of Sciences.

Eurostat. 2014. Statistics Explained. Accessed on: 30.05.2015, Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/ Housing\_statistics/cs.

Helešic, F. 2007. Společenství vlastníků bytů. 1. vydání. Praha: VIP Books.

Chvátalová, I. a kolektiv. 2012. Právo sociálního zabezpečení v České republice a Evropské unii. Plzeň: Aleš Čeněk

Korsakienė, R.; Tvaronavičienė, M. 2014. Processes of economic development: case of Lithuanian real estate sector, *Entrepreneurship* and Sustainability Issues 1(3): 162-172. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2014.1.3(5)

Krebs, V. 2010. Sociální politika. 5. přepracované a aktualizované vydání. Praha: Wolters Kluwer ČR.

Lux, M. 2010. Moderní nástroje sociálního bydlení pro mladé rodiny jako nepřímá podpora růstu porodnosti. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Praha: Sociologický ústav AV ČR

Lux, M. (ed.) 2002. Mikroekonomie bydlení. Praha: Oeconomica

Lux, M. (ed.) (2003): Housing Policy: An End or a New Beginning? Budapest: Open Society Institute.

Lux, M., Sunega, P. 2010. The Future of Housing Systems after Transition – The Case of the Czech Republic, *Communist and Post-Communist studies 43*(2): 221-231. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.postcomstud.2010.04.001

Lux, M., Sunega, P., Kostelecký, T., Čermák, D., Kišnár, P. 2004. *Standardy bydlení 2003/2004. Bytová politika v ČR: efektivněji a cíleněji.* Praha: Sociologický ústav Akademie věd ČR.

Mirvald, M. 2013. Is Adam Smith's Idea of Harmony between Individual Self-Interest and Social Interest Consistent with the Reality of Today? In: Löster, Tomáš, Pavelka, Tomáš (ed.). *The 7th International Days of Statistics and Economics*. Praha: Melandrium.

Morselli, A. 2014. Contemporary Capitalism between Human Action and Social Structure, *Economics and Sociology* 7(2): 11-19. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.14254/2071-789X.2014/7-2/2

Munková, G. 2004. Sociální politika v evropských zemích. 1. vydání. Praha: UK v Praze.

#### JOURNAL OF SECURITY AND SUSTAINABILITY ISSUES ISSN 2029-7017 print/ISSN 2029-7025 online

Ombudsman. 2015. *Dávky na bydlení*. Accessed on: 17.05.2015, Available from: http://www.ochrance.cz/stiznosti-na-urady/chcete-si-stezovat/zivotni-situace-problemy-a-jejich-reseni/davky-na-bydleni/.

Pęciak, R., Tusińska, M. 2015. The fight against poverty in the European Union – expectations versus reality, *Journal of International Studies* 8(2): 114-129. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.14254/2071-8330.2015/8-2/10

Poláková, O. 2006. Bydlení a bytová politika. 1. vydání. Praha: Ekopress.

Pšenko, R., Obšasník, L. 2014. Vyznejte se v paragrafech bydlení. Vybrané kapitoly z NOZ. 1st edition. Brno: BizBooks.

Rojíček, M. 2006. Strukturální analýza české ekonomiky. Praha: CES VŠEM

Sanderson, M., Strielkowski, W., Hluštíková, K. 2014. Ukrainian Labour Migration in the Czech Republic: Brain-Drain and the Existence of Structural Channels, *Politická ekonomie 2014*(4): 542-559. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18267/j.polek.968

Sunega, P. (2005): The Effectiveness of Selected Housing Policy Subsidies in the Czech Republic. *Czech Sociological Review*, 41, No. 2, pp. 271 – 299.

Trojanek, M. 2010a. Methodic of Estate Valuation in Poland - Actual Status, *Economics and Sociology*, 3(1): 66-75. DOI: http://dx.doi. org/10.14254/2071-789X.2010/3-1/7

Trojanek, R. 2010b. Dwelling's Price Fluctuations and the Business Cycle, *Economics and Sociology*, 3(2): 67-77 DOI: http://dx.doi. org/10.14254/2071-789X.2010/3-2/7

Vošta, M. 2013. Importance of transnational corporations in the world economy and their regulation. In: Cihelková, Eva (ed.). *Changes in Governance in the Context of the Global Crisis*. Praha: Nakladatelství Oeconomica. pp. 43-58.

Włodarczyk, K. 2015. Quality of urban life in Poland, *Journal of International Studies* 8(2): 155-163. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.14254/2071-8330.2015/8-2/13

Zavora, T., Chepurny, O. 2014. Forming the Diagnostics Methods of Social Security Condition in a Region, *Economics and Sociology*, 7(1): 228-243. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.14254/2071-789X.2014/7-1/20